

**$\rho(1700)$**  $I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^+(1^{--})$ 

A REVIEW GOES HERE – Check our WWW List of Reviews

 **$\rho(1700)$  MASS** **$\eta\rho^0$  AND  $\pi^+\pi^-$  MODES**VALUE (MeV)DOCUMENT ID **$1720 \pm 20$  OUR ESTIMATE** **$\eta\rho^0$  MODE**VALUE (MeV)DOCUMENT IDTECNCOMMENT

The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

1740 $\pm 20$	ANTONELLI 88	DM2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
1701 $\pm 15$	<sup>1</sup> FUKUI 88	SPEC	$8.95\pi^-p \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-n$

<sup>1</sup> Assuming  $\rho^+ f_0(1370)$  decay mode interferes with  $a_1(1260)^+\pi^-$  background. From a two Breit-Wigner fit.

 **$\pi\pi$  MODE**VALUE (MeV)EVTSDOCUMENT IDTECNCOMMENT

The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

1780 $\pm 20$ $^{+15}_{-20}$	63.5k	<sup>2</sup> ABRAMOWICZ12	ZEUS	$ep \rightarrow e\pi^+\pi^-p$
1861 $\pm 17$		<sup>3</sup> LEES	12G	$BABR$
1728 $\pm 17$ $\pm 89$	5.4M	<sup>4,5</sup> FUJIKAWA	08	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma$
1780 $^{+37}_{-29}$		<sup>6</sup> ABELE	97	$BELL$
1719 $\pm 15$		<sup>6</sup> BERTIN	97C	$\tau^-\rightarrow\pi^-\pi^0\nu_\tau$
1730 $\pm 30$		CLEGG	94	$CBAR$
1768 $\pm 21$		BISELLLO	89	$\bar{p}n \rightarrow \pi^-\pi^0\pi^0$
1745.7 $\pm 91.9$		DUBNICKA	89	$OBLX$
1546 $\pm 26$		GESHKEN...	89	$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
1650		ERKAL	85	$RVUE$
1550 $\pm 70$		ABE	84B	$20-70\gamma p \rightarrow \gamma\pi$
1590 $\pm 20$		<sup>8</sup> ASTON	80	$HYBR$
1600 $\pm 10$		<sup>9</sup> ATIYA	79B	$20\gamma p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-p$
1598 $^{+24}_{-22}$		BECKER	79	$OMEG$
1659 $\pm 25$		<sup>7</sup> LANG	79	$20-70\gamma p \rightarrow p2\pi$
1575		<sup>7</sup> MARTIN	78C	$SPEC$
1610 $\pm 30$		<sup>7</sup> FROGGATT	77	$50\gamma C \rightarrow C2\pi$
1590 $\pm 20$		<sup>10</sup> HYAMS	73	$ASPK$
				$17\pi^-p$ polarized
				$17\pi^-p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-n$
				$17\pi^-p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-n$

- <sup>2</sup> Using the KUHN 90 parametrization of the pion form factor, neglecting  $\rho - \omega$  interference.  
<sup>3</sup> Using the GOUNARIS 68 parametrization of the pion form factor leaving the masses and widths of the  $\rho(1450)$ ,  $\rho(1700)$ , and  $\rho(2150)$  resonances as free parameters of the fit.  
<sup>4</sup>  $|F_\pi(0)|^2$  fixed to 1.  
<sup>5</sup> From the GOUNARIS 68 parametrization of the pion form factor.  
<sup>6</sup> T-matrix pole.  
<sup>7</sup> From phase shift analysis of HYAMS 73 data.  
<sup>8</sup> Simple relativistic Breit-Wigner fit with constant width.  
<sup>9</sup> An additional 40 MeV uncertainty in both the mass and width is present due to the choice of the background shape.  
<sup>10</sup> Included in BECKER 79 analysis.

 **$\pi\omega$  MODE**

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>• • •</b> We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. <b>• • •</b>			
1550 to 1620	11 ACHASOV 00I	SND	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \gamma$
1580 to 1710	12 ACHASOV 00I	SND	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \gamma$
1710 $\pm$ 90	ACHASOV 97	RVUE	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \omega \pi^0$
11	Taking into account both $\rho(1450)$ and $\rho(1700)$ contributions. Using the data of ACHASOV 00I on $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \omega \pi^0$ and of EDWARDS 00A on $\tau^- \rightarrow \omega \pi^- \nu_\tau$ . $\rho(1450)$ mass and width fixed at 1400 MeV and 500 MeV respectively.		
12	Taking into account the $\rho(1700)$ contribution only. Using the data of ACHASOV 00I on $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \omega \pi^0$ and of EDWARDS 00A on $\tau^- \rightarrow \omega \pi^- \nu_\tau$ .		

 **$K\bar{K}$  MODE**

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
<b>• • •</b> We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. <b>• • •</b>					
1740.8 $\pm$ 22.2	27k	13 ABELE	99D	CBAR	$\pm$ 0.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$
1582 $\pm$ 36	1600	CLELAND	82B	SPEC	$\pm$ 50 $\pi p \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm p$
13 K-matrix pole. Isospin not determined, could be $\omega(1650)$ or $\phi(1680)$ .					

**2( $\pi^+\pi^-$ ) MODE**

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>• • •</b> We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. <b>• • •</b>				
1851 $\pm$ 27		ACHASOV 97	RVUE	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$
1570 $\pm$ 20		14 CORDIER 82	DM1	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$
1520 $\pm$ 30		15 ASTON 81E	OMEG	20–70 $\gamma p \rightarrow p 4\pi$
1654 $\pm$ 25		16 DIBIANCA 81	DBC	$\pi^+ d \rightarrow p p 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$
1666 $\pm$ 39		14 BACCI 80	FRAG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$
1780	34	KILLIAN 80	SPEC	11 $e^- p \rightarrow 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$
1500		17 ATIYA 79B	SPEC	50 $\gamma C \rightarrow C 4\pi^\pm$
1570 $\pm$ 60	65	18 ALEXANDER 75	HBC	7.5 $\gamma p \rightarrow p 4\pi$
1550 $\pm$ 60		15 CONVERSI 74	OSPK	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$
1550 $\pm$ 50	160	SCHACHT 74	STRC	5.5–9 $\gamma p \rightarrow p 4\pi$
1450 $\pm$ 100	340	SCHACHT 74	STRC	9–18 $\gamma p \rightarrow p 4\pi$
1430 $\pm$ 50	400	BINGHAM 72B	HBC	9.3 $\gamma p \rightarrow p 4\pi$

<sup>14</sup> Simple relativistic Breit-Wigner fit with model dependent width.

<sup>15</sup> Simple relativistic Breit-Wigner fit with constant width.

<sup>16</sup> One peak fit result.

<sup>17</sup> Parameters roughly estimated, not from a fit.

<sup>18</sup> Skew mass distribution compensated by Ross-Stodolsky factor.

**$\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0$  MODE**

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •</b>			
1660 $\pm$ 30	ATKINSON	85B	OMEG 20–70 $\gamma p$

**3( $\pi^+\pi^-$ ) AND 2( $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ ) MODES**

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •</b>			
1730 $\pm$ 34	19 FRABETTI	04 E687	$\gamma p \rightarrow 3\pi^+ 3\pi^- p$
1783 $\pm$ 15	CLEGG	90 RVUE	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow 3(\pi^+\pi^-)2(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$
19 From a fit with two resonances with the JACOB 72 continuum.			

 **$\rho(1700)$  WIDTH** **$\eta\rho^0$  AND  $\pi^+\pi^-$  MODES**

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID
<b>250 <math>\pm</math> 100 OUR ESTIMATE</b>	

 **$\eta\rho^0$  MODE**

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.			

**• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •**

150 $\pm$ 30	ANTONELLI	88 DM2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
282 $\pm$ 44	20 FUKUI	88 SPEC	$8.95\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^- n$
20 Assuming $\rho^+ f_0(1370)$ decay mode interferes with $a_1(1260)^+\pi^-$ background. From a two Breit-Wigner fit.			

 **$\pi\pi$  MODE**

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.				

**• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •**

310 $\pm$ 30 $^{+25}_{-35}$	63.5k	21 ABRAMOWICZ12	ZEUS	$e p \rightarrow e\pi^+\pi^- p$
316 $\pm$ 26		22 LEES	12G BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma$
164 $\pm$ 21 $^{+89}_{-26}$	5.4M	23,24 FUJIKAWA	08 BELL	$\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^-\pi^0\nu_\tau$
275 $\pm$ 45		25 ABELE	97 CBAR	$\bar{p}n \rightarrow \pi^-\pi^0\pi^0$
310 $\pm$ 40		25 BERTIN	97C OBLX	$0.0\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
400 $\pm$ 100		CLEGG	94 RVUE	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
224 $\pm$ 22		BISELLO	89 DM2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
242.5 $\pm$ 163.0		DUBNICKA	89 RVUE	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
620 $\pm$ 60		GESHKEN...	89 RVUE	
<315		26 ERKAL	85 RVUE	$20-70\gamma p \rightarrow \gamma\pi$
280 $\pm$ 30 $^{+30}_{-80}$		ABE	84B HYBR	$20\gamma p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- p$

230	$\pm$	80	27	ASTON	80	OMEG	20–70	$\gamma p \rightarrow p 2\pi$
283	$\pm$	14	28	ATIYA	79B	SPEC	50	$\gamma C \rightarrow C 2\pi$
175	$+$	98		BECKER	79	ASPK	17	$\pi^- p$ polarized
	$-$	53						
232	$\pm$	34	26	LANG	79	RVUE		
340			26	MARTIN	78C	RVUE	17	$\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- n$
300	$\pm$	100	26	FROGGATT	77	RVUE	17	$\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- n$
180	$\pm$	50	29	HYAMS	73	ASPK	17	$\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- n$

21 Using the KUHN 90 parametrization of the pion form factor, neglecting  $\rho - \omega$  interference.

22 Using the GOUNARIS 68 parametrization of the pion form factor leaving the masses and widths of the  $\rho(1450)$ ,  $\rho(1700)$ , and  $\rho(2150)$  resonances as free parameters of the fit.

23  $|F_\pi(0)|^2$  fixed to 1.

24 From the GOUNARIS 68 parametrization of the pion form factor.

25 T-matrix pole.

26 From phase shift analysis of HYAMS 73 data.

27 Simple relativistic Breit-Wigner fit with constant width.

28 An additional 40 MeV uncertainty in both the mass and width is present due to the choice of the background shape.

29 Included in BECKER 79 analysis.

## $K\bar{K}$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
<b>• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •</b>					
187.2 $\pm$ 26.7	27k	30 ABELE	99D CBAR	$\pm$	0.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$
265 $\pm$ 120	1600	CLELAND	82B SPEC	$\pm$	50 $\pi p \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm p$

30 K-matrix pole. Isospin not determined, could be  $\omega(1650)$  or  $\phi(1680)$ .

## $2(\pi^+\pi^-)$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •</b>				
510 $\pm$ 40		31 CORDIER	82 DM1	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$
400 $\pm$ 50		32 ASTON	81E OMEG	20–70 $\gamma p \rightarrow p 4\pi$
400 $\pm$ 146		33 DIBIANCA	81 DBC	$\pi^+ d \rightarrow p p 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$
700 $\pm$ 160		31 BACCI	80 FRAG	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$
100	34	KILLIAN	80 SPEC	11 $e^- p \rightarrow 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$
600		34 ATIYA	79B SPEC	50 $\gamma C \rightarrow C 4\pi^\pm$
340 $\pm$ 160	65	35 ALEXANDER	75 HBC	7.5 $\gamma p \rightarrow p 4\pi$
360 $\pm$ 100		32 CONVERSI	74 OSPK	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$
400 $\pm$ 120	160	36 SCHACHT	74 STRC	5.5–9 $\gamma p \rightarrow p 4\pi$
850 $\pm$ 200	340	36 SCHACHT	74 STRC	9–18 $\gamma p \rightarrow p 4\pi$
650 $\pm$ 100	400	BINGHAM	72B HBC	9.3 $\gamma p \rightarrow p 4\pi$

31 Simple relativistic Breit-Wigner fit with model-dependent width.

32 Simple relativistic Breit-Wigner fit with constant width.

33 One peak fit result.

34 Parameters roughly estimated, not from a fit.

35 Skew mass distribution compensated by Ross-Stodolsky factor.

36 Width errors enlarged by us to  $4\Gamma/\sqrt{N}$ ; see the note with the  $K^*(892)$  mass.

**$\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0$  MODE**

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •</b>			
300±50	ATKINSON 85B	OMEG 20–70 $\gamma p$	

 **$\omega\pi^0$  MODE**

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •</b>			
350 to 580	37 ACHASOV 00I	SND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$
490 to 1040	38 ACHASOV 00I	SND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$

37 Taking into account both  $\rho(1450)$  and  $\rho(1700)$  contributions. Using the data of ACHASOV 00I on  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^0$  and of EDWARDS 00A on  $\tau^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^-\nu_\tau$ .  $\rho(1450)$  mass and width fixed at 1400 MeV and 500 MeV respectively.

38 Taking into account the  $\rho(1700)$  contribution only. Using the data of ACHASOV 00I on  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^0$  and of EDWARDS 00A on  $\tau^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^-\nu_\tau$ .

**3( $\pi^+\pi^-$ ) AND 2( $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ ) MODES**

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •</b>			
315±100	39 FRABETTI 04	E687	$\gamma p \rightarrow 3\pi^+3\pi^-\rho$
285± 20	CLEGG 90	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow 3(\pi^+\pi^-)2(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$
39 From a fit with two resonances with the JACOB 72 continuum.			

 **$\rho(1700)$  DECAY MODES**

Mode	Fraction ( $\Gamma_i/\Gamma$ )
$\Gamma_1 4\pi$	
$\Gamma_2 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$	large
$\Gamma_3 \rho\pi\pi$	dominant
$\Gamma_4 \rho^0\pi^+\pi^-$	large
$\Gamma_5 \rho^0\pi^0\pi^0$	
$\Gamma_6 \rho^\pm\pi^\mp\pi^0$	large
$\Gamma_7 a_1(1260)\pi$	seen
$\Gamma_8 h_1(1170)\pi$	seen
$\Gamma_9 \pi(1300)\pi$	seen
$\Gamma_{10} \rho\rho$	seen
$\Gamma_{11} \pi^+\pi^-$	seen
$\Gamma_{12} \pi\pi$	seen
$\Gamma_{13} K\bar{K}^*(892)+\text{c.c.}$	seen
$\Gamma_{14} \eta\rho$	seen
$\Gamma_{15} a_2(1320)\pi$	not seen
$\Gamma_{16} K\bar{K}$	seen
$\Gamma_{17} e^+e^-$	seen
$\Gamma_{18} \pi^0\omega$	seen

### $\rho(1700) \Gamma(i)\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

This combination of a partial width with the partial width into  $e^+e^-$  and with the total width is obtained from the cross-section into channel  $i$  in  $e^+e^-$  annihilation.

#### $\Gamma(2(\pi^+\pi^-)) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

$\Gamma_2\Gamma_{17}/\Gamma$

VALUE (keV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$			
2.6 $\pm$ 0.2	DELCOURT 81B	DM1	$e^+e^- \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$
2.83 $\pm$ 0.42	BACCI 80	FRAG	$e^+e^- \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$

#### $\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

$\Gamma_{11}\Gamma_{17}/\Gamma$

VALUE (keV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$			
0.13	40 DIEKMAN 88	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
$0.029^{+0.016}_{-0.012}$	KURDADZE 83	OLYA	$0.64\text{--}1.4 e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$

40 Using total width = 220 MeV.

#### $\Gamma(K\bar{K}^*(892)+\text{c.c.}) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

$\Gamma_{13}\Gamma_{17}/\Gamma$

VALUE (keV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$			
0.305 $\pm$ 0.071	41 BIZOT 80	DM1	$e^+e^-$

41 Model dependent.

#### $\Gamma(\eta\rho) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

$\Gamma_{14}\Gamma_{17}/\Gamma$

VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$			
7 $\pm$ 3	ANTONELLI 88	DM2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$

#### $\Gamma(K\bar{K}) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

$\Gamma_{16}\Gamma_{17}/\Gamma$

VALUE (keV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$			
0.035 $\pm$ 0.029	42 BIZOT 80	DM1	$e^+e^-$

42 Model dependent.

#### $\Gamma(\rho\pi\pi) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

$\Gamma_3\Gamma_{17}/\Gamma$

VALUE (keV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$			
3.510 $\pm$ 0.090	43 BIZOT 80	DM1	$e^+e^-$

43 Model dependent.

**$\rho(1700)$  BRANCHING RATIOS** **$\Gamma(\rho\pi\pi)/\Gamma(4\pi)$** 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	<b><math>\Gamma_3/\Gamma_1</math></b>
<b>• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •</b>				
0.28 $\pm$ 0.06	<sup>44</sup> ABELE	01B	CBAR	0.0 $\bar{p}n \rightarrow 5\pi$
<b><math>44 \omega\pi</math> not included.</b>				

 **$\Gamma(\rho^0\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(2(\pi^+\pi^-))$** 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	<b><math>\Gamma_4/\Gamma_2</math></b>
<b>• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •</b>					
$\sim 1.0$		DELCOURT	81B	DM1	$e^+e^- \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$
0.7 $\pm$ 0.1	500	SCHACHT	74	STRC	5.5–18 $\gamma p \rightarrow p4\pi$
0.80		<sup>45</sup> BINGHAM	72B	HBC	9.3 $\gamma p \rightarrow p4\pi$

<sup>45</sup> The  $\pi\pi$  system is in *S*-wave.

 **$\Gamma(\rho^0\pi^0\pi^0)/\Gamma(\rho^\pm\pi^\mp\pi^0)$** 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	<b><math>\Gamma_5/\Gamma_6</math></b>
<b>• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •</b>					
<0.10	ATKINSON	85B	OMEG	20–70 $\gamma p$	
<0.15	ATKINSON	82	OMEG 0	20–70 $\gamma p \rightarrow p4\pi$	

 **$\Gamma(a_1(1260)\pi)/\Gamma(4\pi)$** 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	<b><math>\Gamma_7/\Gamma_1</math></b>
<b>• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •</b>				
0.16 $\pm$ 0.05	<sup>46</sup> ABELE	01B	CBAR	0.0 $\bar{p}n \rightarrow 5\pi$
<b><math>46 \omega\pi</math> not included.</b>				

 **$\Gamma(h_1(1170)\pi)/\Gamma(4\pi)$** 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	<b><math>\Gamma_8/\Gamma_1</math></b>
<b>• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •</b>				
0.17 $\pm$ 0.06	<sup>47</sup> ABELE	01B	CBAR	0.0 $\bar{p}n \rightarrow 5\pi$
<b><math>47 \omega\pi</math> not included.</b>				

 **$\Gamma(\pi(1300)\pi)/\Gamma(4\pi)$** 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	<b><math>\Gamma_9/\Gamma_1</math></b>
<b>• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •</b>				
0.30 $\pm$ 0.10	<sup>48</sup> ABELE	01B	CBAR	0.0 $\bar{p}n \rightarrow 5\pi$
<b><math>48 \omega\pi</math> not included.</b>				

 **$\Gamma(\rho\rho)/\Gamma(4\pi)$** 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	<b><math>\Gamma_{10}/\Gamma_1</math></b>
<b>• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •</b>				
0.09 $\pm$ 0.03	<sup>49</sup> ABELE	01B	CBAR	0.0 $\bar{p}n \rightarrow 5\pi$
<b><math>49 \omega\pi</math> not included.</b>				

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{11}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$			
0.287 <sup>+0.043</sup> <sub>-0.042</sub>	BECKER	79	ASPK 17 $\pi^- p$ polarized
0.15 to 0.30	50 MARTIN	78C	RVUE 17 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- n$
<0.20	51 COSTA...	77B	RVUE $e^+ e^- \rightarrow 2\pi, 4\pi$
0.30 $\pm 0.05$	50 FROGGATT	77	RVUE 17 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- n$
<0.15	52 EISENBERG	73	HBC 5 $\pi^+ p \rightarrow \Delta^{++} 2\pi$
0.25 $\pm 0.05$	53 HYAMS	73	ASPK 17 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- n$

50 From phase shift analysis of HYAMS 73 data.

51 Estimate using unitarity, time reversal invariance, Breit-Wigner.

52 Estimated using one-pion-exchange model.

53 Included in BECKER 79 analysis.

$\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(2(\pi^+\pi^-))$   $\Gamma_{11}/\Gamma_2$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$			
0.13 $\pm 0.05$	ASTON	80	OMEG 20–70 $\gamma p \rightarrow p 2\pi$
<0.14	54 DAVIER	73	STRC 6–18 $\gamma p \rightarrow p 4\pi$
<0.2	55 BINGHAM	72B	HBC 9.3 $\gamma p \rightarrow p 2\pi$

54 Upper limit is estimate.

55  $2\sigma$  upper limit.

$\Gamma(\pi\pi)/\Gamma(4\pi)$   $\Gamma_{12}/\Gamma_1$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$			
0.16 $\pm 0.04$	56,57 ABELE	01B	CBAR 0.0 $\bar{p}n \rightarrow 5\pi$

56 Using ABELE 97.

57  $\omega\pi$  not included.

$\Gamma(K\bar{K}^*(892)+\text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{13}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$			
possibly seen	COAN	04	CLEO $\tau^- \rightarrow K^-\pi^- K^+\nu_\tau$

$\Gamma(K\bar{K}^*(892)+\text{c.c.})/\Gamma(2(\pi^+\pi^-))$   $\Gamma_{13}/\Gamma_2$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$			
0.15 $\pm 0.03$	58 DELCOURT	81B	DM1 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \bar{K}K\pi$

58 Assuming  $\rho(1700)$  and  $\omega$  radial excitations to be degenerate in mass.

$\Gamma(\eta\rho)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{14}/\Gamma$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$				
possibly seen		AKHMETSHIN 00D	CMD2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
<0.04		DONNACHIE 87B	RVUE	
<0.02	58	ATKINSON 86B	OMEG	20–70 $\gamma p$

$\Gamma(\eta\rho)/\Gamma(2(\pi^+\pi^-))$  $\Gamma_{14}/\Gamma_2$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>• • •</b> We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. <b>• • •</b>			
0.123±0.027	DELCOURT 82	DM1	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$ MM
~0.1	ASTON 80	OMEG	20–70 $\gamma p$

 $\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^- \text{ neutrals})/\Gamma(2(\pi^+\pi^-))$  $(\Gamma_5 + \Gamma_6 + 0.714\Gamma_{14})/\Gamma_2$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>• • •</b> We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. <b>• • •</b>			
2.6±0.4	59 BALLAM 74	HBC	9.3 $\gamma p$
59 Upper limit. Background not subtracted.			

 $\Gamma(a_2(1320)\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$  $\Gamma_{15}/\Gamma$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>• • •</b> We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. <b>• • •</b>			
not seen	AMELIN 00	VES	$37\pi^-p \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-n$

 $\Gamma(K\bar{K})/\Gamma(2(\pi^+\pi^-))$  $\Gamma_{16}/\Gamma_2$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>• • •</b> We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. <b>• • •</b>					
0.015±0.010	60 DELCOURT 81B	DM1			$e^+e^- \rightarrow K\bar{K}$
<0.04	95 BINGHAM 72B	HBC	0		9.3 $\gamma p$

60 Assuming  $\rho(1700)$  and  $\omega$  radial excitations to be degenerate in mass. $\Gamma(K\bar{K})/\Gamma(K\bar{K}^*(892)+\text{c.c.})$  $\Gamma_{16}/\Gamma_{13}$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>• • •</b> We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. <b>• • •</b>			
0.052±0.026	BUON 82	DM1	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

 $\Gamma(\pi^0\omega)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$  $\Gamma_{18}/\Gamma$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>• • •</b> We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. <b>• • •</b>				
seen	1.6k	ACHASOV 12	SND	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$
not seen	2382	AKHMETSHIN 03B	CMD2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$
seen		ACHASOV 97	RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^0$

 **$\rho(1700)$  REFERENCES**

ABRAMOWICZ 12	EPJ C72 1869	H. Abramowicz <i>et al.</i>	(ZEUS Collab.)
ACHASOV 12	JETPL 94 734	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	
	Translated from ZETFP 94 796.		
LEES 12G	PR D86 032013	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
FUJIKAWA 08	PR D78 072006	M. Fujikawa <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
COAN 04	PRL 92 232001	T.E. Coan <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
FRAEBETTI 04	PL B578 290	P.L. Frabetti <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E687 Collab.)
AKHMETSHIN 03B	PL B562 173	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
ABELE 01B	EPJ C21 261	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
ACHASOV 00I	PL B486 29	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)
AKHMETSHIN 00D	PL B489 125	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
AMELIN 00	NP A668 83	D. Amelin <i>et al.</i>	(VES Collab.)
EDWARDS 00A	PR D61 072003	K.W. Edwards <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
ABELE 99D	PL B468 178	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)

ABELE	97	PL B391 191	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
ACHASOV	97	PR D55 2663	N.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(NOVM)
BERTIN	97C	PL B408 476	A. Bertin <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Collab.)
CLEGG	94	ZPHY C62 455	A.B. Clegg, A. Donnachie	(LANC, MCHS)
CLEGG	90	ZPHY C45 677	A.B. Clegg, A. Donnachie	(LANC, MCHS)
KUHN	90	ZPHY C48 445	J.H. Kuhn <i>et al.</i>	(MPIM)
BISELLO	89	PL B220 321	D. Bisello <i>et al.</i>	(DM2 Collab.)
DUBNICKA	89	JPG 15 1349	S. Dubnicka <i>et al.</i>	(JINR, SLOV)
GESHKEN...	89	ZPHY C45 351	B.V. Geshkenbein	(ITEP)
ANTONELLI	88	PL B212 133	A. Antonelli <i>et al.</i>	(DM2 Collab.)
DIEKMAN	88	PRPL 159 99	B. Diekmann	(BONN)
FUKUI	88	PL B202 441	S. Fukui <i>et al.</i>	(SUGI, NAGO, KEK, KYOT+)
DONNACHIE	87B	ZPHY C34 257	A. Donnachie, A.B. Clegg	(MCHS, LANC)
ATKINSON	86B	ZPHY C30 531	M. Atkinson <i>et al.</i>	(BONN, CERN, GLAS+)
ATKINSON	85B	ZPHY C26 499	M. Atkinson <i>et al.</i>	(BONN, CERN, GLAS+)
ERKAL	85	ZPHY C29 485	C. Erkal, M.G. Olsson	(WISC)
ABE	84B	PRL 53 751	K. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC HFP Collab.)
KURDADZE	83	JETPL 37 733	L.M. Kurdadze <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
Translated from ZETFP 37 613.				
ATKINSON	82	PL 108B 55	M. Atkinson <i>et al.</i>	(BONN, CERN, GLAS+)
BUON	82	PL 118B 221	J. Buon <i>et al.</i>	(LALO, MONP)
CLELAND	82B	NP B208 228	W.E. Cleland <i>et al.</i>	(DURH, GEVA, LAUS+)
CORDIER	82	PL 109B 129	A. Cordier <i>et al.</i>	(LALO)
DEL COURT	82	PL 113B 93	B. Delcourt <i>et al.</i>	(LALO)
ASTON	81E	NP B189 15	D. Aston	(BONN, CERN, EPOL, GLAS, LANC+)
DEL COURT	81B	Bonn Conf. 205	B. Delcourt	(ORSAY)
Also		PL 109B 129	A. Cordier <i>et al.</i>	(LALO)
DIBIANCA	81	PR D23 595	F.A. di Bianca <i>et al.</i>	(CASE, CMU)
ASTON	80	PL 92B 215	D. Aston	(BONN, CERN, EPOL, GLAS, LANC+)
BACCI	80	PL 95B 139	C. Bacci <i>et al.</i>	(ROMA, FRAS)
BIZOT	80	Madison Conf. 546	J.C. Bizot <i>et al.</i>	(LALO, MONP)
KILLIAN	80	PR D21 3005	T.J. Killian <i>et al.</i>	(CORN)
ATIYA	79B	PRL 43 1691	M.S. Atiya <i>et al.</i>	(COLU, ILL, FNAL)
BECKER	79	NP B151 46	H. Becker <i>et al.</i>	(MPIM, CERN, ZEEM, CRAC)
LANG	79	PR D19 956	C.B. Lang, A. Mas-Parareda	(GRAZ)
MARTIN	78C	ANP 114 1	A.D. Martin, M.R. Pennington	(CERN)
COSTA...	77B	PL 71B 345	B. Costa de Beauregard, B. Pire, T.N. Truong	(EPOL)
FROGGATT	77	NP B129 89	C.D. Froggatt, J.L. Petersen	(GLAS, NORD)
ALEXANDER	75	PL 57B 487	G. Alexander <i>et al.</i>	(TEL)
BALLAM	74	NP B76 375	J. Ballam <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC, LBL, MPIM)
CONVERSI	74	PL 52B 493	M. Conversi <i>et al.</i>	(ROMA, FRAS)
SCHACHT	74	NP B81 205	P. Schacht <i>et al.</i>	(MPIM)
DAVIER	73	NP B58 31	M. Davier <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC)
EISENBERG	73	PL 43B 149	Y. Eisenberg <i>et al.</i>	(REHO)
HYAMS	73	NP B64 134	B.D. Hyams <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, MPIM)
BINGHAM	72B	PL 41B 635	H.H. Bingham <i>et al.</i>	(LBL, UCB, SLAC) IGJP
JACOB	72	PR D5 1847	M. Jacob, R. Slansky	
GOUNARIS	68	PRL 21 244	G.J. Gounaris, J.J. Sakurai	

---